

# SPECIAL PROPERTIES OF A SUBMANIFOLD OF $su(3)$

R.Parthasarathy<sup>1</sup>

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
Chennai 600 113, India.

## Abstract

The finite gauge transformation, in the submanifold of  $su(3)$  introduced in hep-th/9902027, is found to be *linear* in the gauge functions  $\omega^a$ 's. In this manner, a parameterization of  $SU(3)$  in terms of a single real octet vector  $\omega^a$  is achieved. Under this finite transformation, those gauge fields satisfying  $D_\mu^{ab} \omega^b = 0$ , remain unchanged. A geometric meaning to the submanifold in terms of subgroup of rotations in  $E_8$  is presented.

In a recent paper [1], dealing with the infrared region of QCD and confinement,  $SU(3)$  gauge field configurations appropriate to the infrared region of QCD have been proposed. These configurations, motivated by the works of 'tHooft [2] and Mandelstam [3] on the crucial roles of monopole configurations in confinement, are defined by

$$D_\mu^{ab} \omega^b = \partial_\mu \omega^a + f^{acb} A_\mu^c \omega^b = 0, \quad (1)$$

where, the eight components  $\omega^a$  of an eight dimensional vector are chosen to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^a \omega^a &= 1, \\ d^{abc} \omega^b \omega^c &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \omega^a. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Now, the manifold relevant to the infrared region of QCD is not the complete  $su(3)$  manifold spanned by arbitrary  $\omega^a$ 's, *but* a submanifold spanned by those  $\omega^a$ 's satisfying (2) and the relevant gauge field configuration  $A_\mu^a$  are

---

<sup>1</sup>e-mail address: sarathy@imsc.ernet.in

determined by solving (1). In [1], a solution  $A_\mu^a$  satisfying (1) and (2) has been found to be

$$A_\mu^a = C_\mu \omega^a - \frac{4}{3} f^{abc} \omega^b \partial_\mu \omega^c, \quad (3)$$

where  $C_\mu$  is an arbitrary vector field. Further it has been shown that such configurations when used in the usual QCD action contains magnetic monopole configurations necessary for confinement and confinement has been realized as in the London theory of Meissner effect, along the lines suggested by Nambu [4]. It has been stated in [1] that in view of (1), a mass term  $m^2 A_\mu^a A_\mu^a$  for the gauge field  $A_\mu^a$  (satisfying (1)) can be added without violating gauge symmetry, as a gauge variation of this term  $2m^2 (\delta A_\mu^a) A_\mu^a = 2m^2 D_\mu^{ab} \omega^b A_\mu^a$  identically vanishes in view of (1), as long as we are working in the submanifold defined by (2).

The purpose of this short note is to show this result of infinitesimal variation holds good for finite gauge transformations as well. The finite gauge transformations here become *linear* in  $\omega^a$ 's. The second relation in (2) upon using the first relation becomes  $d_{abc} \omega^a \omega^b \omega^c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ . It is known [5,6] that  $\omega^a \omega^a$  and  $d_{abc} \omega^a \omega^b \omega^c$  are the *only* two  $SU(3)$  invariants. The submanifold is thus one in which these invariants are taken as constants unity and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$  respectively.

Consider a gauge transformation generated by

$$U = \exp \left( i \omega^a \frac{\lambda_a}{2} \right), \quad (4)$$

for  $\omega$ 's satisfying (2) and  $\lambda_a$ 's are the Gell-Mann  $SU(3)$  matrices. We shall make use of the basic relation for the  $\lambda$ -matrices, viz.,

$$\lambda_a \lambda_b = i f^{abc} \lambda_c + \frac{2}{3} \delta^{ab} + d^{abc} \lambda_c, \quad (5)$$

where  $f^{abc}$  and  $d^{abc}$  are the usual anti-symmetric and symmetric  $SU(3)$  tensors respectively. Expanding the exponential (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} U &= 1 + i \omega^a \frac{\lambda_a}{2} - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{4} (\omega^a \lambda_a)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{3!} \frac{1}{8} (\omega^a \lambda_a)^3 + \frac{1}{4!} \frac{1}{16} (\omega^a \lambda_a)^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using (5), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(\omega^a \lambda_a)^2 &= \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \omega^a \lambda_a, \\
(\omega^a \lambda_a)^3 &= \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} + \omega^a \lambda_a, \\
(\omega^a \lambda_a)^4 &= \frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{3\sqrt{3}} \omega^a \lambda_a, \\
(\omega^a \lambda_a)^5 &= \frac{10}{9\sqrt{3}} + \frac{11}{9} \omega^a \lambda_a,
\end{aligned}$$

and so on. Substituting these in  $U$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= (1 - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{6} - \frac{i}{3!} \frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{4!} \frac{5}{144\sqrt{3}} + \dots) \\
&+ (\frac{i}{2} - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} - \frac{i}{3!} \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4!} \frac{5}{48\sqrt{3}} + \dots) \omega^a \lambda_a \\
&= \alpha + \beta \omega^a \lambda_a,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are (finite) complex constants.  $U^{-1}$  can be easily found to be  $\alpha^* + \beta^* \omega^a \lambda_a$  (where  $*$  stands for complex conjugation) and so  $UU^{-1} = I$ , gives the conditions,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \alpha^* + \frac{2}{3} \beta \beta^* &= 1, \\
\alpha \beta^* + \beta \alpha^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \beta \beta^* &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Thus, the finite gauge transformation  $U$  becomes *linear* in  $\omega$ . This is a special feature of the submanifold defined by (2). *Thus a parameterization of  $SU(3)$  in terms of a single real octet vector  $\omega^a$  is achieved by (6).* It has been shown by Macfarlane, Sudbery and Weisz [5] that *any* special unitary matrix  $U$  written as  $\exp(iA)$  with  $A$  hermitian and  $A = \omega_a \lambda_a$ , can be written as  $U = u_0 + iu_a \lambda_a$ , where  $u_a = a\omega_a + bd_{abc}\omega^b\omega^c$ , with  $u_0, a, b$  as functions of the two  $SU(3)$  invariants,  $\omega^a\omega^a$  and  $d_{abc}\omega^a\omega^b\omega^c$ . In our choice, these invariants are taken as constants 1 and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$  and this gives (6) for  $U$ . We will relate this  $U$  to the rotation matrix of a subgroup of rotations in eight dimensional Euclidean space  $E8$  at the end.

Under a finite gauge transformation, the gauge field  $A_\mu = A_\mu^a \frac{\lambda_a}{2}$  transforms as

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu^U = \frac{1}{i} (\partial_\mu U) U^{-1} + U A_\mu U^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

To check the notation and the factors, we find the infinitesimal version of (8) is

$$\delta A_\mu^a = D_\mu^{ac} \omega^c, \quad (9)$$

as it should be. In view (1),  $\delta A_\mu^a = 0$  and we want to show that for *finite* gauge transformations (6) with (7),

$$A_\mu^U = A_\mu, \quad (10)$$

for those  $A_\mu^a$ 's satisfying (1).

We shall make use of the standard relations among  $f$  and  $d$  tensors [5], viz.,

$$f^{ilm} d^{mjk} + f^{jlm} d^{imk} + f^{klm} d^{ijm} = 0, \quad (11)$$

and

$$f^{ijm} f^{k\ell m} = \frac{2}{3} (\delta^{ik} \delta^{j\ell} - \delta^{i\ell} \delta^{jk}) + d^{ikm} d^{j\ell m} - d^{jkm} d^{i\ell m}. \quad (12)$$

Starting from (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_\mu U) U^{-1} &= \beta (\partial_\mu \omega^a) \lambda_a (\alpha^* + \beta^* \omega^b \lambda_b) \\ &= \beta \alpha^* (\partial_\mu \omega^a) \lambda_a + i \beta \beta^* (\partial_\mu \omega^a) \omega^b f^{abc} \lambda_c \\ &+ \beta \beta^* (\partial_\mu \omega^a) \omega^b d^{abc} \lambda_c, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $(\partial_\mu \omega^a) \omega^a = 0$ , following from the first relation in (2) is used. Also, the second relation in (2) gives

$$d^{abc} (\partial_\mu \omega^b) \omega^c = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} (\partial_\mu \omega^a), \quad (14)$$

and so

$$(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1} = (\beta\alpha^* + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\beta\beta^*)(\partial_\mu\omega^a)\lambda_a + i\beta\beta^*(\partial_\mu\omega^a)\omega^b f^{abc}\lambda_c. \quad (15)$$

We are interested in the transformation of the  $A_\mu^a$ 's satisfying (1) and so using (1) in (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_\mu U)U^{-1} &= (\beta\alpha^* + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\beta\beta^*)f^{abc}\omega^b A_\mu^c \lambda_a \\ &+ i\beta\beta^*(f^{apq}\omega^p A_\mu^q)\omega^b f^{abc}\lambda_c. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Using (13) for simplifying  $f^{apq}f^{abc}$ , (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_\mu U)U^{-1} &= (\beta\alpha^* + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\beta\beta^*)f^{abc}\omega^b A_\mu^c \lambda_a \\ &+ i\beta\beta^*\{\frac{2}{3}A_\mu^a\lambda_a - \frac{2}{3}\omega^b\omega^c A_\mu^b\lambda_c + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\omega^a d^{qca}A_\mu^q\lambda_c \\ &- d^{qba}d^{pca}\omega^p\omega^b A_\mu^q\lambda_c\}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the relations in (2) have been used.

Now, we consider the evaluation of  $UA_\mu U^{-1}$  using (6).

$$\begin{aligned} UA_\mu U^{-1} &= (\alpha + \beta\omega^a\lambda_a) A_\mu^b \frac{\lambda_b}{2} (\alpha^* + \beta^*\omega^c\lambda_c), \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta\omega^a\lambda_a) \{\alpha^*\lambda_b + i\beta^*f^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d + \frac{2}{3}\beta^*\omega^b \\ &+ \beta^*d^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d\}A_\mu^b, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

using (5). Expanding further and using (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} UA_\mu U^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}\{\alpha\alpha^*\lambda_b + i\alpha\beta^*f^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d + \frac{2}{3}\alpha\beta^*\omega^b \\ &+ \alpha\beta^*d^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d + \beta\alpha^*\omega^a(i f^{abc}\lambda_c + \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ab} + d^{abc}\lambda_c) \\ &+ i\beta\beta^*f^{bcd}\omega^c\omega^a(i f^{ade}\lambda_e + \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ad} + d^{ade}\lambda_e) \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}\beta\beta^*\omega^a\omega^b\lambda_a \\ &+ \beta\beta^*d^{bcd}\omega^a\omega^c(i f^{ade}\lambda_e + \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ad} + d^{ade}\lambda_e)\}A_\mu^b. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In here there are fourteen terms. The sixth term and the third term are like-terms; the seventh and the fourth are like-terms, and the fifth and the second are like-terms. The ninth term vanishes identically. In the thirteenth term, the second relation in (2) is used. Then we find,

$$\begin{aligned}
UA_\mu U^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}\{\alpha\alpha^*\lambda_b + i(\alpha\beta^* - \beta\alpha^*)f^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d + \frac{2}{3}(\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^*)\omega^b \\
&+ (\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^*)d^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d \\
&+ i\beta\beta^*(if^{ade}f^{bcd}\omega^a\omega^c\lambda_e + f^{bcd}d^{ade}\omega^c\omega^a\lambda_e) \\
&+ \frac{2}{3}\beta\beta^*\omega^a\omega^b\lambda_a \\
&+ \beta\beta^*(if^{ade}d^{bcd}\omega^a\omega^c\lambda_e + \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\omega^b + d^{bcd}d^{ade}\omega^a\omega^c\lambda_e)\}A_\mu^b. \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the coefficient of  $\omega^b$  terms in (20) is  $\frac{2}{3}(\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\beta\beta^*)$ , which is zero due to the second relation in (7). Using the relation (12) to rewrite the eighth term  $f^{ade}d^{bcd}$  as  $-f^{bad}d^{edc} - f^{cad}d^{ebd}$ , the term involving  $f^{cad}$  vanishes due to the symmetry of  $\omega^a\omega^c$  in  $a$  and  $c$ . Then the remaining of the eighth term cancels with the sixth term in (20). In the fifth term involving  $f^{ade}f^{bcd}$ , we make use of (13). Then (20) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
UA_\mu U^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}\{\alpha\alpha^*\lambda_b + i(\alpha\beta^* - \beta\alpha^*)f^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d + (\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^*)d^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d \\
&- \beta\beta^*(\frac{2}{3}\lambda_b - \frac{2}{3}\omega^b\omega^c\lambda_c + \frac{d^{ebd}}{\sqrt{3}}\omega^d\lambda_e - d^{abd}d^{ecd}\omega^a\omega^c\lambda_e) \\
&+ \frac{2}{3}\beta\beta^*\omega^a\omega^b\lambda_a + \beta\beta^*d^{bcd}d^{ade}\omega^a\omega^c\lambda_e\}A_\mu^b. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the two terms involving the two  $d$ -tensors are the same and so,

$$\begin{aligned}
UA_\mu U^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}\{\alpha\alpha^*\lambda_b + i(\alpha\beta^* - \beta\alpha^*)f^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d + (\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^*)d^{bcd}\omega^c\lambda_d \\
&- \frac{2}{3}\beta\beta^*\lambda_b + \frac{4}{3}\beta\beta^*\omega^a\omega^b\lambda_a - \beta\beta^*\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}d^{ebd}\omega^d\lambda_e \\
&+ 2\beta\beta^*d^{abd}d^{ecd}\omega^a\omega^c\lambda_e\}A_\mu^b. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

From (17) and (22), we have,

$$\frac{1}{i}(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1} + UA_\mu U^{-1} = -i(\beta\alpha^* + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\beta\beta^*)f^{abc}\omega^b\lambda_a A_\mu^c$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \beta\beta^* \left\{ \frac{2}{3} A_\mu^a \lambda_a - \frac{2}{3} \omega^b \omega^c A_\mu^b \lambda_c \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} d^{qca} \omega^a A_\mu^q \lambda_c - d^{qba} d^{pca} \omega^p \omega^b A_\mu^q \lambda_c \} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \{ \alpha\alpha^* \lambda_b + i(\alpha\beta^* - \beta\alpha^*) f^{bcd} \omega^c \lambda_d \\
& + (\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^*) d^{bcd} \omega^c \lambda_d - \frac{2}{3} \beta\beta^* \lambda_b + \frac{4}{3} \beta\beta^* \omega^a \omega^b \lambda_a \\
& - \frac{\beta\beta^*}{\sqrt{3}} d^{ebd} \omega^d \lambda_e + 2\beta\beta^* d^{abd} d^{ecd} \omega^a \omega^c \lambda_e \} A_\mu^b. \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the terms involving two  $d$ -tensors. They are,

$$(-d^{qba} d^{pca} \omega^p \omega^b A_\mu^q \lambda_c + d^{abd} d^{ecd} \omega^a \omega^c A_\mu^b \lambda_c).$$

By changing the summation indices in the second term above, viz.,  $b \rightarrow q$ ,  $a \rightarrow b$ ,  $c \rightarrow p$ ,  $e \rightarrow c$  in that order, the two terms cancel each other. Then, the terms involving one  $d$ -tensor are,

$$\beta\beta^* d^{qca} \omega^a A_\mu^q \lambda_c + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^*) d^{bcd} \omega^c \lambda_d A_\mu^b - \frac{\beta\beta^*}{2\sqrt{3}} d^{ebd} \omega^d \lambda_e A_\mu^b.$$

By rearranging the indices, this becomes,

$$\frac{1}{2} (\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \beta\beta^*) d^{qca} \omega^a A_\mu^q \lambda_c,$$

which vanishes due to the second relation in (7). Now, consider the terms involving one  $f$ -tensor. They are,

$$-i(\beta\alpha^* + \frac{\beta\beta^*}{2\sqrt{3}}) f^{abc} \omega^b A_\mu^c \lambda_a + \frac{i}{2} (\alpha\beta^* - \beta\alpha^*) f^{bcd} \omega^c \lambda_d A_\mu^b.$$

In the second term, make  $b \leftrightarrow c$ ,  $d \rightarrow a$  so that the above expression becomes,

$$-\frac{i}{2} (\alpha\beta^* + \beta\alpha^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \beta\beta^*) f^{abc} \omega^b A_\mu^c \lambda_a,$$

which vanishes due to the second relation in (7). Finally, the terms involving two  $\omega$ 's in (23) cancel each other, leaving

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{i} (\partial_\mu U) U^{-1} + U A_\mu U^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha\alpha^* + \frac{2}{3} \beta\beta^*) A_\mu^a \lambda_a = \frac{1}{2} A_\mu^a \lambda_a, \\
&= A_\mu, \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

using the first relation in (7).

Thus when  $U = \exp\{i\omega^a \frac{\lambda_a}{2}\}$  finite transformation is considered,  $U$  becomes  $\alpha + \beta\omega^a \lambda_a$ , linear in  $\omega^a$  in view of (2), with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying (7). This finite transformation leaves  $A_\mu$  invariant, for those  $A_\mu^a$ 's satisfying (1). Therefore, within the submanifold defined by (2), the gauge fields satisfying (1) can have a mass term, even for finite transformations.

It will be useful to consider the converse of this result. In order to this, we ask the question: What will be the gauge field configurations that remain unchanged under finite gauge transformations? Since under a finite gauge transformation  $U$ ,  $A_\mu$  transforms as,

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu^U = \frac{1}{i}(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1} + UA_\mu U^{-1},$$

the answer is given by

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{i}(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1} + UA_\mu U^{-1}.$$

By right multiplying the above expression by  $U$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{i}\partial_\mu U + [U, A_\mu] = 0, \quad (25)$$

whose infinitesimal version is (1). This means that for those  $A_\mu$ 's and  $U$  satisfying (25), the mass term remain invariant. In order to give explicit expressions for  $A_\mu^a$  and  $U$ , the transformation  $U$  is chosen by those  $\omega$ 's satisfying (2). Then (6) gives the required  $U$ . The choice of  $\omega$ 's satisfying (2) and  $A_\mu^a$  in (3) determined by (1), produce magnetic monopole configurations in the QCD action [1].

It is known that the tensor indices taking eight values in  $d$  and  $f$  are tensor indices associated with the adjoint group  $SU(3)/Z(3)$  of  $SU(3)$ . The tensors  $d$  and  $f$  associated with  $SU(3)/Z(3)$ , are cartesian tensors in eight real dimensions [6]. Given a single octet vector  $\{\omega^a\}$  and the tensors  $d$  and  $f$ , it is known that *at most* two linearly independent octets can be formed. They are  $\omega^a$  itself and  $d_{abc}\omega^b\omega^c$ . Then *at most* two independent  $SU(3)$  invariants



can be formed, which are taken as,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega^a \omega^a, \\ & d_{abc} \omega^a \omega^b \omega^c. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

A geometric meaning can be given to the invariants. If we associate a  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $A$  with  $\omega^a$  as  $A = \omega^a \lambda_a$ , where  $\lambda_a$ 's are the Gell-Mann matrices, then  $Tr(A^2)$  and  $det(A)$  give the above two invariants. In our choice made in (2), we have taken the two invariants as constants.

A study of the relationship of the adjoint group  $SU(3)/Z(3)$  to the subgroup of rotation group  $R8$  which leaves invariant the length  $\omega^a \omega^a$  of the real eight component vector  $\omega^a$  and the cubic invariant  $d_{abc} \omega^a \omega^b \omega^c$  has been made by Macfarlane [6]. Here we will give the main results for our choice (2). The eight components  $\omega^a$  can be taken to describe a point of  $E8$ . Rotations in  $E8$  are real linear transformations

$$\omega^a \rightarrow \omega'^a = R_{ab} \omega^b. \quad (27)$$

Invariance of the length leads to  $RR^T = I$ . We take  $det(R) = 1$ . To relate  $SU(3)$  to a subgroup of  $R8$ , the group of rotations in  $E8$ , associate with each point in  $E8$ , a  $3 \times 3$  traceless hermitian matrix  $A$

$$A = \omega^a \lambda_a, \quad (28)$$

an element of the algebra of  $SU(3)$ . Transformations of  $E8$  induced by  $U \in SU(3)$  transformation

$$A \rightarrow A' = UAU^{-1}, \quad (29)$$

can be shown to give [6]

$$R_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} Tr\{\lambda_a U \lambda_b U^{-1}\}. \quad (30)$$

For  $U$  in (6) and using the relations in (7), we find explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} &= (1 - \beta\beta^*)\delta_{ab} + 2\beta\beta^*\omega_a\omega_b - \sqrt{3}\beta\beta^*d_{abc}\omega_c \\ &+ if_{abc}\omega_c (\alpha\beta^* - \beta\alpha^*). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

It is verified that  $R_{ab}R_{ac} = \delta_{bc}$ , i.e., the transformation is orthogonal. It is seen that  $R_{ab}\omega_b = \omega_a$ . Thus the rotation leaves  $\omega^a\omega_a$  invariant. It is seen that the invariance of  $d_{abc}\omega^a\omega^b\omega^c$  is verified by showing  $d_{abc}R_{ap}R_{bq}R_{cr} = d_{pqr}$ .

I am extremely thankful to Biswajit Chakraborty, Ramesh Anishetty and H.Sharatchandra for discussions.

### References.

1. R.Parthasarathy, hep-th/9902027.
2. G.'tHooft, Nucl.Phys. **B190**, (1981) 455; **B138**, (1978)1; **B153**, (1979) 141.
3. S.Mandelstam, Phys.Rev. **D19**, (1978) 2391; in the *Proceedings of the Monopole Meeting*, Trieste, Italy, 1981. Edited by N.S.Craige, P.Goddard and W.Nahm, World Scientific, 1982.
4. Y.Nambu, Phys.Rev. **D10**, (1974) 4262; Phys.Rep. **C23**, (1975) 250.
5. A.J.Macfarlane, A.Sudbury and P.H.Weisz, Comm.Math.Phys. **11**, (1968) 77.
6. A.J.Macfarlane, Comm.Math.Phys. **11**, (1968) 91.